

## REMARKS

In response to the Restriction Requirement dated May 13, 2005: In response to the Restriction Requirement required by the Examiner, requiring election between:

Group I: Claims 1-5 drawn to a semiconductor device; and


Group II: Claims 6-9 drawn to method of making a semiconductor device,

Applicant elects, with traverse, Group I: Claims 1-5, drawn to a semiconductor device. Although this election has been made with traverse, Applicant has cancelled Group II, accordingly, the restriction requirement is now moot.

## Conclusion

If the Examiner is unable to allow the application in the next Office Action and believes that a telephone interview would be helpful to resolve any issues, he is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,



Anthony P. Curtis, Ph.D.  
Registration No. 46,193  
Attorney for Applicant

BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE  
P.O. BOX 10395  
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60610  
(312) 321-4200